Rour 8/15: Chanks for the Becker cite. Lealnes sent me a kerox of those pages and I've read them. Also agree with you. By copy of Green - elt ungle is jone, probably not returned by a follow. Don't have 7 vet. If you see any other relevant citif places let be know because a have neither time nor recide to put in emotional hip boots and wade blacks has severe. However, I think that may be the next big disimformational line and writt to be prepared for it to the degree possible.

I don't know what explains the TER's Shaw's records. But the reference to the 1964 one to the 1967 one, or they were locking into him at the time of the WC but did not tell it, which is what they told Clark, who in "never's words blobbed. As of 1967 the first law was who small law, cover the Duran's ass. From the obliterated reference I believe there was no ref. to "eight and I would not expect that Shaw would receiste

with a Parrie. I though I sent that to you - all I saw.

The not checking further than the Ird-man fnote (thanks) on 98: the men in the WASSU film are Thank and Alice and not this associate of whim I have from both Core and Steele. Now is there say indication that there were two with his at the this of the asser, only one other. If I did not and you those record it will be easier to get them from flag fact or Earl Colz, to whom I sent them, either or both, for a story Earl is working on. I've lowned him the Daylo film and referred him to Scheener for Earth, which he has. But with that kind if looseness with feet it is understandable that he consisted had no real interest in finding they because that I think you'd be wise to understand is that they came up with the conspinery angle only because their's purfered hard to evoid any possibility of coming up with anything.

No restriction on the Shaw or 3d-sum records but I hope people don't de wild with

Show in particulor.

I think I'd heard before that Bradlee Milled than Pearson 3/3/67 column and I can see a logical journalistic reason for it. But is there more, other reason?

On room 0/4 1/ at I'd approximate 1,3,3.

Two red the elections to 221ff and 103f and find this treatment of the Army Intelligence and its destroyed resords entirely inadequate. I learned easily what they omit and they omit all ref. to Powell and these remains records.

The reverse three heary JFK files thry intel. destroyed plan all the records of that112th group, except for a few at Indiantown Cap, which the constitute could have getten. So it wasn't just name files. I gave "es what they used hoping it would crude so obt.

I don't know that it means anything but I can't imagine a clerk stupif enough to destroy records relating to the assassination of a -reisent on her own.

I'd not be comprised if at some future date a implicate microfilm surfaces.

Simmer ly,